



Ms Renu Malhotra,
129-B, Partap Nagar,
Pandav Street, Patiala.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Medical Superintendent,
Amar Hospital, Patiala.

...Respondent

Complainant Case No. 20 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
Sh.Abhishek Dhiman Advocate for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 30.09.2020 has sought information regarding CCTV footage of Cardiac Care Unit(CCU)(5th floor of Amar Hospital Patiala) from 06.09.2020 to 14.09.2020 – name, designation& shift timing of the staff members deputy in CCU – CCTV footage of Medical Intensive Care Unit (4th floor of Amar Hospital) on 14.09.2020 – name designation of staff members deputed in MICU – Documents, reports relating to Sh.Ravinder Malhotra (ECHS age 60 years)submitted by Amar Hospital to the ECHS department for claiming bills and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Medical Superintendent, Amar Hospital, Patiala. The complainant was not provided with the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 24.12.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. Sh.Abhishek Dhiman, Advocate is present at Chandigarh on behalf of Amar Hospital and has submitted a reply which has been taken on the file of the Commission.

The counsel has pleaded that the respondent hospital being purely a private institution/hospital does not come under the purview of the RTI Act and therefore not liable to provide any information other than medical records of the patient.

I am in agreement with the plea of the respondent hospital, and since it does not qualify as a public authority under section 2 of the RTI Act, the complaint case is closed.

The case is **closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 17.08.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Ms. Isha Malhotra,
129-B, Partap Nagar,
Pandav Street, Patiala.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Medical Superintendent,
Amar Hospital, Patiala.

...Respondent

Complainant Case No. 21 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
Sh.Abhishek Dhiman, Advocate for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 13.09.2020 has sought information regarding documents, daily vital chart, treatment chart, medications of Ravinder Malhotra (ECHS aged 60 years, UHID-2016005540) from 06.09.2020 to 14.09.2020 admitted in CCU (5th floor & 4th floor) - name, designation & shift timing of the staff members deputy in CCU – CCTV footage of CCU (5th floor of Amar Hospital) on 14.09.2020 – CCV footage of MICU (4th floor) – CCTV footage of CT Scan room and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Medical Superintendent, Amar Hospital, Patiala. The complainant was not provided with the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 24.12.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. Sh. Abhishek Dhiman, Advocate is present at Chandigarh on behalf of Amar Hospital and has submitted a reply which has been taken on the file of the Commission.

The counsel has pleaded that the respondent hospital being purely a private institution/hospital does not come under the purview of the RTI Act and therefore is not liable to provide any information other than medical records of the patient. The counsel has submitted a copy of the medical records of the patient which has been taken on the file of the Commission.

I am in agreement with the plea of the respondent hospital, and since it does not qualify as a public authority under section 2 of the RTI Act, the complaint case is closed. A copy of the medical record of the patient submitted by the counsel, is being sent to the complainant along with the order.

The case is **closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 17.08.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Principal Secretary,
 Deptt of Social Security Women and Child Development,
 Pb,Civil Secretariat-2, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Principal Secretary,
 Deptt of Social Security Women and Child Development,
 Pb,Civil Secretariat-2, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2800 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Babu Ram as the Appellant
Sh.Gurvinder Singh, Sr. Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 30.03.2021 has sought information regarding a copy of memo No.1/360/98-1SS/2232 dt.14.07.2008 relating to merging of cadre seniority of senior assistant – a copy of the letter dated 09.05.2008 – a copy of the letter dated 06.11.2008 relating to merging of the cadre of senior assistants along with complete notings and order of competent authority – a copy of the letter of the finance department and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Principal Secretary, Department of Social Security Women and Child Development, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 04.05.2020 which took no decision on the appeal. After filing the first appeal, the PIO sent information on 15.06.2021 to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed 2nd appeal in the Commission.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC, Mohali. As per the respondent, the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 06.08.2021 with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant has pointed out some discrepancy in the information and stated that the correspondence portion No.181-182 which the respondent claims to have sent vide letter dated 06.08.2021 has not been received and the PIO has also not supplied the information on point-d.

The PIO is directed to sort out the discrepancies as pointed out and provide the remaining information to the appellant within a week with a copy to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 17.08.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh D.C Gupta,
 # 778, Urban Estate,
 Phase-1, Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
 O/o Director,

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Director,
Social Security & Development of Women & children,
Pb, SCO-102-103, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 483 of 2021

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant
 Sh.Chaman Lal, Suptd. for the Respondent**

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 23.07.2020 has sought information regarding a copy of the investigation report submitted to the Punjab Govt. by the Investigation team on an old-age pension scam – district-wise number of persons who fraudulently drew old age pension and the amount involved – the name of the officer involved in the scam – action was taken against officials as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Director of Social Security and Development of Women & Children, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not satisfied with the information provided by the PIO vide letter dated 25.08.2020 after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 28.09.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 28.06.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. Both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

Hearing dated 17.08.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala/Mohali. The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that the PIO has not supplied the information on points 1,3 & 4.

As per the respondent, the information on point-1 (enquiry report) has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 09.08.2021 with a copy to the Commission through email.

The PIO is directed to provide information on points 3 & 4 to the appellant within ten days and send a compliance report to the commission. In case of failure to supply complete information, the Commission will be constrained to consider provisions of Section 20 of the RTI Act against the PIO.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Chandigarh
Dated :17.08.2021**

**Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner**